

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-12 and 14-19 remain in the application, all of which stand rejected. Claim 13 has been canceled, without prejudice.

1. Rejection of Claims 1-19 Under 35 USC 102(a)

Claims 1-19 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(a) as being unpatentable over Clark et al. (US 2005/0081157 A1; hereinafter "Clark").

To begin, applicants note that the recitations of canceled claim 13 have been incorporated into claim 1, and recitations similar to those found in canceled claim 13 have been incorporated into all other independent claims.

With respect to the recitations of claim 13, which recitations are now found in claim 1, the Examiner asserts that Clark discloses these recitations in FIG. 4a, 90i, where "each measurement may be selected". See, 1/15/2010 Final Office Action, p. 7. Applicants respectfully disagree.

The recitations of canceled claim 13 that have been added to claim 1 are:

wherein the perceptibly correlated network-related measurements are visual correlations as a top-level test view of the test and selectable to navigate to lower test levels of detailed network-related measurement views of each network analysis device.

In contrast, Clark discusses what is illustrated by FIG. 4a, element 90i, as follows:

[0049] As illustrated in the display output 90a, performance information for the cluster may be aggregated and displayed. The aggregated information may be provided from a plurality of sources such as from counters associated with performance aspects of members serving the entity. For example, a second display output window 90i may provide information regarding particular counters such as processor utilization, memory available, and server requests per second. Inputs 90j and 90k (e.g., Add/Remove) may be provided to add and remove

counters from the display 90a respectively. For example, if input Add 90j were selected, a predetermined list (not shown) may be provided to enable the user to select a performance counter for display output. Similarly, counters may be removed by selecting (e.g., mouse highlighting) a counter within the display 90i and then selecting the remove input 90k.

Thus, Clark does not indicate or suggest that anything in the display output 90a of FIG. 4 is "selectable to navigate to lower test levels of detailed network-related measurement views. . .". Instead, Clark discloses an integrated display where additional information about the "counters" influencing a display output 90a can be displayed in an output window 90i (without any need, or ability, to select measurements in the display output 90a for navigating to lower test levels of detailed network-related measurement views).

Because Clark is devoid of any disclosure or suggestion of the recitations of claim 13 (which recitations are now part of claim 1), claim 1 is believed to be allowable. All other claims are believed to be allowable because they depend from claim 1, or for reasons similar to why claim 1 is believed to be allowable.

2. Conclusion

In light of the amendments and remarks provided herein, applicants respectfully request the issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,
HOLLAND & HART, LLP

By: /Gregory W. Osterloth/
Gregory W. Osterloth
Reg. No. 36,232
Tel: (303) 295-8205